


No. 130 AUGUST 1979

Spearhead

20p



ALL ABOARD THE ONE WORLD GRAVY TRAIN!

Nationalist comment

WHAT WE THINK

on the month's news

The Western sickness

'I WILL HOUSE THE BOAT PEOPLE' — VICAR was a minor headline in the local *Walsall Observer* which seemed to epitomise that Western decadence and suicidal malaise which Jean Raspail so brilliantly describes in his prophetic novel *The Camp of the Saints*. Everything which Raspail predicted in the pages of his book, written years before 'boat people' were ever heard of, has been exactly duplicated throughout the saga of the 'Vietnamese refugees.' It is not simply that he foresaw the teeming masses of the Third World taking to boats to find sanctuary in the West. It is the way in which he describes the actions of effete politicians, journalists, teachers and churchmen in welcoming the invasion with open arms while mouthing the platitudes of 'humanitarianism,' and the total collapse of will on the part of those who wanted to resist the invasion. Even Malaysia has got the guts to tow these 'boat people' out to sea; but the tired, corrupt West cannot even summon the stamina to

say: No!

Last month's headlines were like quotations from Raspail's novel. "The GLC is drawing up plans to provide council accommodation for up to 2,000 Vietnamese boat people . . ." "Church leaders are pressing the Government to give a clear lead at next month's UN conference on the boat people. The Archbishop of Canterbury, Dr. Coggan, Cardinal Basil Hume and the Moderator of the Free Church Council, the Rev. A. Raymond George said yesterday that help must be given . . ."

"Holland's ruling Christian Democrats have asked the Government to accept around 3,000 Vietnamese boat people . . ." "President Carter is to double from 7,000 to 14,000 the number of Indochinese refugees America is to accept each month . . ." "Australia has decided to expand its commitment to take in the Vietnamese boat people. So far over 40,000 have been admitted . . ." "Britain is providing a £10,000 cash grant for Cambodian refugees . . ." "Sweden, which has already given sanctuary to the boat people, is to continue its foreign aid grant to Vietnam of £50 million . . ." And this from the *Daily Telegraph*: "Malaysia and Hong Kong could act as staging posts . . . our only role is to offer — or deny — long-term sanctuary. For this daunting task do we and others in the West care enough?"

It was apparently the decadent whinings of this 'conservative' newspaper that finally persuaded Mrs. Thatcher to cave in (just as we said she would), accept another 10,000 'boat people' and succumb totally to the Western sickness.

Another Tory back-down

After the General Election at which the Tories rode into power on what appeared to many voters as a hard-line right-wing plat-

form, we predicted that it would soon be seen that this platform was just a 'front' and that, with the election over, the party would lapse back into the same sort of soggy liberalism and cowardice that had characterised all preceeding Tory Governments.

An excellent example of this is the new policy on secondary picketing. In the election campaign Mrs. Thatcher gave every impression that this would be brought to an end by an act of law. In the Tory election manifesto it was stated:—

"... we believe that right (of picketing) should be limited to those in dispute picketing at their own place of work . . . We shall ensure that the protection of the law is available to those not concerned in the dispute but who at present can suffer severely from secondary action (picketing, blacking and blockading). This means an immediate review of the existing law on immunities in the light of recent decisions, followed by such amendment as may be appropriate of the 1976 legislation in this field . . ."

These words are of course chosen carefully so as to make the Tories' precise commitment somewhat vague. However, their obvious intention was to convince the voter that secondary picketing would be outlawed.

Now we learn the truth. In a policy statement made last month it was announced that secondary picketing would not after all be made a criminal offence but that the onus for prosecution of secondary pickets should lie with the employers thereby affected. Since that is no more of a right, for what it is worth, than such employers already have today, it is quite meaningless.

Even this statement of policy is not regarded as a blueprint for legislation but only as a basis for 'discussion' with union leaders before legislation is contemplated. This is rather like lawyers and police who have drawn up plans for curbing the Mafia first sitting down with Mafia bosses to see whether the plans are mutually acceptable!

In explaining this half-baked approach, the Government says that it "has been mindful of the need not to create sources of conflict gratuitously . . ." No, of course not. While it is desirable to protect the public from the Mafia, we must not run the risk of conflict with the Mafia!

All very pathetic but no surprise.

Unofficial figures

Once again some very conflicting — and revealing — figures on the number of coloured Immigrants in Britain have appeared in the press. As all students of mythology are aware, the official number of Immigrants in Britain is variously underestimated as 1¼ million or 1.8 million. Students of population growth will also be aware that this figure has had the curious habit of staying exactly the same for many years. According to the *Daily Telegraph* recently, the Census Office has gone even further and put the

S P E A R H E A D

No. 130 AUGUST 1979

P.O. Box 105, London E.C.2. (Tel. 01-739 2187)

Editor: Richard Verrall

Contributing Editor: Martin Webster

Spearhead exists to reflect a cross-section of contemporary British nationalist opinion. It is privately published by its founder and is independent of all political parties and groups.

Unless specifically stated to the contrary, the views expressed in signed articles or letters are the sole responsibility of their authors and do not necessarily represent the views of the Editor or the policies of any political organisation *Spearhead* may support editorially.

The appearance of an advertisement in *Spearhead* is not necessarily indicative that the Editor has any knowledge of, interest in or support for the product, service, organisation or function advertised.

Spearhead welcomes enquiries from potential advertisers, to whom rates will be sent on request. Advertising matter, accompanied by pre-payment, must be submitted at least one month prior to the publishing date (normally the first day of each month) of the issue for which the advertisement is intended. The Editor reserves the right to refuse to publish advertisements submitted.

The Editor is pleased to receive from readers manuscripts of articles for possible publication which should normally be not longer than 1,250 words and typed in double-spacing. No payment is made for articles published, which become *Spearhead* copyright unless authors specifically request otherwise at the time they submit their manuscripts. The Editor reserves the right to shorten or otherwise amend articles accepted for publication should shortage of space or editorial judgment require such alteration to be made.

Those wishing to re-print *Spearhead* articles must first gain the permission of the Editor and undertake to include with the re-printed matter the author's name and the name and address of *Spearhead*.

number of Immigrants (including Maltese and Cypriots) at the ludicrously low figure of 1,600,000.

Cracks in this wall of official statistics first appeared in Tokyo, when Margaret Thatcher told Western leaders at the summit meeting that Britain had already absorbed "millions" of Commonwealth Immigrants, and so could not take an unlimited number of Vietnamese. This remarkable statement was followed up by Lord Carrington, who was quoted by Peter Jenkins of the *Guardian* last month as saying in Hong Kong that Britain had absorbed "some two million Asian immigrants" — that is, not counting the Black population. Then, in the *Sunday Telegraph*, John Smalldon writing from Paris on France's race problem let slip that "Britain's immigrant population is estimated to be three million."

All this is very interesting. It re-enforces the view that official figures are quite fraudulent and shows that certain politicians and media people know a lot more than they are officially telling.

'Buy Afro-Asian' says GATT

It was the General Agreement on Tariffs and Trade (GATT), the first post-war step by International Finance to create an integrated world economy, that robbed Britain of her Imperial Preference trading relationship with the Commonwealth and dragged her into the morass of international free trade. Now GATT wants Britain and other 'developed' countries to increase unemployment at home still further by "substantially increasing" cheap imports from the Third World.

The blackmail upon which this is based was clearly spelled out by GATT Director-General Olivier Long: "The immediate choice for the industrialised countries is either to allow a substantial increase in imports from developing countries or to risk a serious threat to their financial stability through widespread defaults on outstanding loans." The Organisation for Economic Co-Operation and Development (OECD) is pushing the same line, though they use more sophisticated arguments. They claim that by increasing imports of cheap rubbish from the Third World we will really be creating jobs, since the 'developing' countries' increased purchasing power would thereby absorb more of our exports to them!

Behind the blackmail and the pathetic excuses, however, lies the real truth. Certain press reports by 'insiders' revealed that these GATT-OECD manoeuvres reflected "the growing concern among believers in free trade that the industrial nations may once again wrongly resort to protectionism in their bid to save jobs at home. This, they believe, would be disastrous."

Disastrous certainly for the planners of a global economy under centralised control, and for the sweated labour workshops of Korea and Taiwan, but not for the 1½ million British unemployed!

Red charities

Spearhead has frequently commented on the rampant communism in such 'charity' organisations as Oxfam and Christian Aid. We recently reported the complaints by a former director of Help the Aged that most of the money went to Afro-Asia, and by a former director of War on Want that the organisation had become rabidly left-wing.

Now the Charity Commissioners have publicly condemned Oxfam, Christian Aid and War on Want for venturing into political activity and propaganda. Oxfam, for example, published a magazine which the Commissioners declared to be "provocative and one-sided and came within the scope of political propaganda." Christian Aid were advised not to give any more grants to the World Council of Churches' Commission on Churches' Participation in Development as its aims included financing political action, a euphemism for communist agitation. War on Want published a magazine *Poverty and Power* which was "politically abrasive" and engaged in campaigns which "lay outside the legitimate scope of the charitable field."

So now you know. Next time the collection box is rattled at you, simply say that you have no wish to contribute to communist causes and would they kindly tell you if there is a charity which **only** supports the poor and aged in this country — because if such a charity exists you wish to support that.

Waffle on Black crime

Last month the Home Office, the police and churchmen were falling over themselves in the rush to claim that Blacks did not commit a disproportionate amount of crime. First came the Home Office, which submitted evidence to the Royal Commission on Criminal Procedure claiming that there was a "disproportionate number of arrests of black-skinned persons" under the section of the Vagrancy Act known as the 'sus' law — suspected persons loitering with intent to commit a crime. The reason for this 'disproportionate' number might seem obvious to the average person, but not to the Home Office. They argued that it could be attributable to "police harassment and discrimination"; on the other hand, they said, "it is arguable that the statistics reflect a disproportionate involvement of young black people in street crime." It was, they said, difficult to prove or disprove either contention!

Tell that to Scotland Yard! Unfortunately, however, it is unlikely that Scotland Yard would dare venture an opinion on the matter these days because, in spite of having published statistics in the past conclusively proving the disproportionate involvement of Blacks in street crime, they are now under intense political pressure from the multi-racialists. This explains the comments of Metropolitan Police Commissioner Sir David McNee, who in a recent lecture in which he condemned "myths about police harassment"

also felt it necessary to balance it by talking about "myths of Black criminality" as well. Law and order problems with the Black community, he claimed, were linked to "discrimination, education, under-achievement and a feeling of alienation."

That last comment might well have come from some red vicar at the Methodist Conference, which last month contributed its twopenny-worth by asking the Government to repeal the 'sus' law after discussing alarming tales of "innocent Black Christians cowering in their homes for fear of being picked up off the streets."

Such ridiculous nonsense as this would be laughable if it did not highlight the total lack of concern on the part of these degenerate clergymen for the old folk among our own people, who literally dare not venture out to post a letter in many areas for fear of Black muggers.

A judge's guidance

Juries always look to the Judge's summing up for guidance in complex court cases, and guidance was what the jury got in the trial of Jeremy Thorpe and his co-defendants. All were acquitted.

Mr. Justice Cantley felt it unnecessary to inform the jury that he was obliged by law to draw no inference from the refusal of all but one of the defendants to go into the witness-box. Instead he left them to assume it was his personal opinion that no inference should be drawn. As for Mr. Cantley's comments on the prosecution witnesses Bessell, Scott and Newton, these were positively ferocious and clearly influenced the jury in reaching their verdicts. The judge even felt it necessary to attack the character of Mr. Deakin, the only defendant who had been prepared to tell the truth as he saw it in the witness-box.

When Mr. Carman, QC for Thorpe, did not ask for costs for his client, he quickly opined that this in no way implied any guilt. Mr. Cantley need not have commented at all on this, but he did. He added: "What you are saying, Mr. Carman, is that the case has been properly conducted." This seems a strangely superfluous observation. After all, who would dare to suggest that the case was anything other than properly conducted?

Double standard

Liberal Leader David Steel wants to find a mate for his labrador bitch, Jill, and has gone to great lengths to locate a dog which will qualify on grounds of sound pedigree. Eventually he has acquired one from the Duke of Buccleuch, and we are informed that the union has resulted in seven fine labrador puppies.

Surely the sinister spectre of racism is raising itself here. If Mr. Steel were really true to the principles he has been proclaiming throughout his political career, would he not be quite happy to have his bitch served by the nearest stray mongrel from the streets?

THE ONE-WORLD

GRAVY TRAIN

INTERNATIONAL institutions such as the United Nations and the EEC are not only sinister threats to national independence and individual freedom. These embryo organisations of the World State are also gigantic rackets. It is through their vast wealth, much of it hidden in secret bank accounts, that they are able to bestow the kind of patronage on their servants that subverts their national loyalties and binds them firmly to their 'world order' system.

Recent reports have revealed that the United Nations, which always claims to be on the verge of bankruptcy, has secret bank accounts dotted around the world and has amassed a hidden fortune of £700 million.

Accountants auditing the books of the 30 organisations which make up the United Nations say that the UN has obscured the fact that it has stock-piled hidden wealth, and have refused to certify that the books are accurate.

Britain contributes £60 million of taxpayers' money a year to the UN. Vast profits run up in secret international bank accounts have been uncovered by the auditors. UNICEF, the UN's children fund, has between £50 and £70 million in the bank; and UNESCO has £35 million in the bank and another £6 million in hidden trust funds, though it continually appeals for money.

There can be few patronage-dispensing gravy trains as munificent as the European Common Market. The Euro-officials charged with administering one of the major steps towards the 'One World' system pay virtually no tax on their hugely inflated salaries — quite an inducement to would-be internationalist bureaucrats.

President of the Commission Roy Jenkins gets £82,000 a year, most of which he keeps. Commissioners get £55,000 a year, plus a 16 per cent 'expatriation allowance', a free Mercedes, drinks and cigarettes and a home entertainments allowance. Directors-General get a mere £50,000 a year. The EEC's



Outside the UN building the symbol of turning swords into ploughshares has a hollow ring. More truthful would be turning profits into other sorts of shares.

legal officials take home between £15,852 and £21,062.

The EEC even looks after a switch-board operator to the tune of £180 a week. Teachers in the EEC's schools for Eurocrats receive £16,000 a year plus such perks as a car free of VAT and an annual 'parcel' of duty-free drinks and cigarettes.

EEC expense allowances enable the One-Worlders to entertain on the scale of Roman Emperors. West German Commissioner Wilhelm Haferkamp spent £7,000 on one party in Caracas. When he

visited China at the EEC's expense he took a close personal friend with him as an interpreter. She was a first-rate interpreter — except that Chinese was not one of her languages.

If you want to leave your post as a Eurocrat, it is easy to arrange a golden handshake of around £150,000. This particular fiddle is known as "taking Article 50", or leaving "in the interests of the service", and simply means making way for a national from another country that is joining the EEC. For those who do stay, pensions are a handsome 70 per cent of salary after 35 years, tax free. And Eurocrats are almost never sacked. Even an EEC secretary can expect a plush rented apartment, a new car and an exotic winter and summer holiday each year.

Britain, of course, is paying the largest share towards the budget from which the One-Worlders bestow this glittering patronage on their flunkies. Last year our contribution to the Community Budget was a staggering £1,348.3 million!



**Eurocrat
Wilhelm
Haferkamp.
£7,000
spent on
one party**

IN OCTOBER 1974 Harold Wilson went to the country with the promise of renegotiating Britain's terms within the Common Market. Enoch Powell said he would be voting Labour — hinting they were the only major political party which might take Britain out — and thus millions of people were conned into voting Labour.

Labour returned to power and put the “renegotiated” terms before a referendum, which under massive media pressure achieved the predicted 2:1 “Yes” vote. Pro-marketeters rubbed their hands together and regarded the matter as settled for all time.

But, with the rumblings of a 1979 General Election round the corner, Labour realised that to stay in power they would have to tap the large anti-Market feeling. Anyone who followed the electioneering of Messrs. Callaghan, Silkin & Co. would come to the conclusion that the “successful renegotiations” were a complete sham.

Labour were right on one point: the Common Market has done massive harm to British industry and consumers alike. Some Tories would tell you that the demise of Britain's small businessmen has been due to taxation and point to 5 years of Labour government as the cause. We should remember that it was the Tories who were responsible for bringing us into the EEC with all its bureaucracy, and in particular, VAT. Each week no less than £122 million is collected and £41 million rebated. It doesn't affect the large multi-nationals with their teams of accountants too badly, but many small businessmen give up in the face of the time-consuming paperwork, feeling it just isn't worth it.

Enterprise may be further stifled by a recent EEC directive which would saddle our innovators with almost unlimited liability. A CBI spokesman pointed out that for firms to cover themselves, prices would have to be increased. One of the “benefits of membership”, Mr. Heath?

Our 6½ year membership of the EEC has really hit the British fishing industry. Many Scottish and Humberside trawlers have been thrown out of work, and Fleetwood has ceased to exist as a fishing port. The EEC did nothing to defend British interests in the Cod War with Iceland in 1976, but recently hauled Britain before the European Court over proposed “discriminatory” moves to protect what is left of our fishing industry.

“Conservation measures” drawn up by John Silkin, and in force from July 1st, are merely “experimental” and guaranteed for 1 year only by a Tory Government whose manifesto promises such measures will not “discriminate” against continental fishermen, the very people who emptied their own waters by reckless overfishing.

Britain's fishermen are not the only ones counting the cost of membership. Compared to world prices, within the Market wheat costs 74% more, beef 74%, barley 106%, and butter 300% more. Yet

BRIAN MOONEY

THE COMMON MARKET: WHAT THE TORIES DIDN'T TELL YOU

there are substantial “mountains” of all these commodities; in fact there is enough wheat to last 3 years. The EEC is now forced to store its surpluses in non-member countries like Austria, and millions of tons of fruit and vegetables are destroyed to keep prices high.

More price rises are on the way. Reacting to a Tory election broadcast in April, EEC experts accused Prices Spokesman Sally Oppenheim of miscalculating, and pointed to price rises 5 times higher as a result of membership than the broadcast stated.

And those who believe that Britain will be paying less rather than more towards the Community budget may be in for a shock. At present the EEC may be self-financing from the various duties, levies and VAT, but this will soon be inadequate, and the Commission will have to squeeze member states for more money. The cost of the Common Agricultural Policy, which featherbeds backward continental farmers, is expected to rise by 35% by 1981, and these warnings do not take into account the problems arising from underdeveloped countries like Greece or Spain joining.

BRITAIN PAYS MOST

Determining how much each country pays can be complicated — there are 4 methods of working this out. But one thing that is certain is that Britain is paying the most. £789 million, and it has doubled twice in two years. Although we have a trade surplus of £250 million with the world as a whole, our deficit with the other EEC members is £2,500 million — ten times as much. Since joining in 1973 membership has cost us at least this much in budget payments, not to mention the interest on loans of £1,600 million.

Power is concentrated in the hands of the EEC Commission, which consists of 13 men from the 9 members with a reputation for secrecy and all pledged not to put their own countries' interests first. The Commission has ordered an end to the special grants available to British suppliers of equipment for the North Sea, and, according to one newspaper, is investigating whether Britain is discriminating against foreign oil companies with the policy that they should form British subsidiaries to pursue their North Sea oil interests, and the practice of landing all such oil in Britain.

Some Pro-Marketeters genuinely believe that a “strong, united Europe” would be a safeguard against Communism, but the facts indicate otherwise. In fact the EEC has been propping up Communism for a number of

years. At the tip of the iceberg is the “cheap butter racket”, though most people do not know that the butter sold off to Russia and Poland at giveaway prices can quite legally be sold back to us at a profit via East Germany! But when Britain wants to export low-cost butter to West Germany it is surcharged to bring it up to the “fixed price”. Such are the double standards of the EEC.

The *Financial Times* of 23.11.78 pointed out that the Comecon bloc effectively holds “favoured nation status” with the Market in terms of trade. Polish bacon undercuts the British and Danish product in the shops, and in November 1978 the Commission took no action on cargo rate undercutting by fleets from Communist countries (which it prefers to call “state trading nations”).

When British tyre manufacturers complained to the Commission about East European dumping, they were told that no action would be taken — the level of imports wasn't high enough! In July last year, the Commission increased Community steel prices by 5% average, and put an end to West Germany's discounts-for-industry policy. By pure coincidence, Communist steel producers can undercut Common Market steel... by 5%.

Nationalists would do well to monitor the murmurings about reorganising the European armaments industry under EEC control. A motion calling for precisely this was passed at a meeting of the Western European Union Assembly, at which seven EEC countries were represented. The originator of the idea was Julian Critchley, Tory MP for Aldershot.

Six weeks previously, another six Tory MPs — among them 3 junior Ministers — published a pamphlet called *Europe Right Ahead* in which they suggest closer joint development and production of military equipment.

In March, the *Daily Telegraph* reported: “Moves to activate dormant proposals involving the supply of nuclear materials would give the Commission effective control over key aspects of Britain's nuclear policy.”

The Commission? The same people who recently sent a telegram of support to the Marxist terrorists of the Patriotic Front, no less. The real face of European Unity is revealed. One-time Commissioner Walter Hallstein once declared: “We are in this, not for the economics, but for the politics.”

In 1972 the nine heads of State met to agree upon “irreversible” economic and monetary union by 1980. Ever since, Britain has slipped gradually down that incline, away from its separate existence and towards the dictatorship of “irreversible” World Government.

Was there ever greater betrayal?

THE DARK CONTINENT EXPOSED

THIS MONTH *Spearhead* presents a special feature on the chaos, corruption and barbarism that has reigned in Africa since "independence", and the retreat of the White man. The White regime of South Africa stands as an oasis amid the anarchy, famine, bankruptcy and degradation of the rest of the Dark Continent. Almost all Black African states are ruled by savage dictators who succeed each other with bewildering rapidity. According to Amnesty International, in the three year period between 1975-1977, 21 out of 37 sub-Saharan African states experienced coups, attempted coups, civil wars and treason trials.

We will begin with **ZAMBIA** where hundreds of thousands face starvation because of a critical shortage of maize, the country's staple diet. This is due to the closing of the border with Rhodesia, and the bungling incompetence of Mozambique and Botswana through which maize imports were supposed to be re-routed.

Zambia's economy is on the verge of disintegration. Its industry is crippled, and the copper which is its main export is bringing in little revenue because of the collapse of transportation. Thousands of tonnes of copper are stranded in Tanzania because the port of Dar Es Salaam does not have the capacity to ship it overseas. Zambia only manages to stave off total collapse through getting deeper and deeper in debt to the International Monetary Fund, which prevented the closure of its two biggest mining companies with a loan of 322 million dollars. Most foods are scarce in Zambia. Sugar, milk, eggs, meat, tea and cheese have virtually disappeared from the shops. Blacks queue for food from 4 a.m. until six in the evening.

Prices are soaring and so is crime, which the police are no longer able to control. Whites are continually robbed by gunmen in the streets, in shops and their homes. To carry a handbag is an invitation to robbery. One White told the Rhodesian *Sunday Mail* in April, 1978: "How can a man go to work not knowing whether he will return home to find his possessions gone and his wife murdered?" Spares for cars are unobtainable, and if a car is left unattended it will be stripped. Go away for a weekend and thieves will strip the corrugated iron off your roof. Transport barely exists. Hundreds of buses are off the roads due to lack of spare parts, and people fight for taxis after work as late as 10 p.m. The police are unable to investigate robberies because they don't have enough cars to reach the scene of the crime.

In **ZAIRE**, formerly the Belgian Congo, total bankruptcy faces its dictator, President Mobutu. The country, one of the most mineral rich in Africa, owes a staggering 3.5

billion dollars and is now under the complete control of the International Monetary Fund. Annual debt service on Mobutu's borrowing now stands at 200 million dollars. Zaire has a balance of payments deficit estimated at 500 million dollars and an inflation rate of 40 per cent. It has devalued its currency by 42 per cent, doubling the price of imported items like South African canned foods.

Zaire is so corrupt that Mobutu had to appeal to civil servants and company executives not to steal or embezzle "in large lumps". After the exiled Shah of Persia,



Mobutu's £2 million chateau at Uccle, Brussels

Mobutu is the richest ruler in the world and has siphoned off millions into foreign bank accounts and property in Belgium, France, Switzerland, Spain and Africa. His chateau at Uccle, a select Brussels suburb, cost nearly £2 million. Borrowed money has been sunk into prestige projects such as a six-lane highway leading to the "presidential domain" at N'Sele, where conference halls and bungalows have gold-plated fixtures. But the telephone system in Zaire is so useless that some government officials use walkie-talkies! Mobutu takes a personal cut from every business in Zaire and has 'given' the country's main companies to his relatives after 'nationalising' them.

Emperor Bokassa, lunatic ruler of the **CENTRAL AFRICAN EMPIRE**, is another Black dictator who has milked a fortune from his hapless country and invested it abroad. His latest acquisition is a 19th century French chateau of 100 rooms in the Sologne, a hunting region south of Paris where he now owns 2,500 acres. He also owns a house near Paris, a villa on the Riviera, an estate in Switzerland and vast properties in his own country, which is one of the most

poverty-stricken in the world.

Bokassa recently had himself crowned Emperor at a cost of £1 million, in robes copied from Napoleon's imperial garb and in front of a gigantic gold throne in the shape of an imperial eagle. Bokassa has long been known for the pleasure he takes in beating political prisoners to death himself. He surpassed himself recently, however, when he personally murdered several of the 100 schoolchildren brutally massacred at the Ngaragba Jail in Bangui after a demonstration against him.

MOZAMBIQUE under its frenzied Marxist dictator Samora Machel is another African country in which the basic rudiments of organised society have almost totally collapsed. Previously a flourishing Portuguese colony, the country has been almost deserted by Europeans. Food is scarce and shops are empty. Stores and other businesses that once thrived under White rule are now closed. If you need a doctor in Mozambique you have to go to hospital yourself and join a queue



Emperor and President for Life Bokassa of Central Africa, with a few of his self-awarded medals



Democracy is rare among Blacks but not entirely unknown. Here, savages with bones through their noses carry the White Man's strange "ballot boxes"

of 200 or more because no doctor will visit your house. The Machel government promised every African a house, but all that has been put up are shanties and huts. There is only one newspaper left in Beira, which contains no news — only political propaganda and announcements of new laws. Crime and drunkenness is rampant, and signs everywhere proclaim "Drunkenness is Forbidden". The Post Office no longer issues accounts because there is nobody left skilled enough to do the accounts. There are no electricians, engineers or other technicians competent to keep basic services going. Machel recently ordered a "partial reversion to capitalism" in order to stem the mounting chaos, starvation and bankruptcy.

In **EQUATORIAL GUINEA**, run by a Marxist madman called Francisco Macias Nguema, Blacks have been unskilfully hanged in public to the strains of Mary Hopkins singing *Those Were The Days*. Prisoners have been beheaded and their heads left to rot on public display. Ten of the 12 men who were cabinet ministers at independence just over a decade ago have been murdered. A leading opposition politician died from gangrene after his eyes had been gouged out, a favourite torture of the Guinean National Guards according to Amnesty International. Other prisoners have been crucified and burned alive. Out of a population of 350,000 in 1968, 50,000 have been brutally murdered and another 145,000 have fled into exile. According to the US State Department, only fervent supporters of the regime are left alive.


Dictator Macias has bestowed no less than 46 titles on himself, including 'One and

Only Miracle'. After becoming life president the slogan "God created Equatorial Guinea thanks to Macias. Without Macias Equatorial Guinea would not exist", became an obligatory part of church services. Priests who did not comply were killed.

Since independence cocoa exports from the country dropped to a tenth of their previous level, with plantations overgrown and beans rotting on the trees. Hardly any vehicles are running, and there are no buses, taxis or other public transport. Garages are closed and the number of ships calling at ports has dropped from 663 in 1967 to no more than 10 a year. The capital, Malabo, has running water for an hour a day. The national bank has been closed since its director was publicly tortured to death. In an attempt to revive the crippled economy, Macias introduced forced labour for 25,000 workers who receive no pay except for a monthly ration of rice and fish.

GHANA is in economic chaos with its gross national product back to the level that it was in 1957 and unemployment 30 per cent of the labour force. There are few imports and the crop of the country's staple export product cocoa is down to two thirds of what it was 10 years ago. This is due largely to rampant smuggling, as much of the crop is sold for better prices in the Ivory Coast and Togo which re-exports it. Ghana's new ruler, Flight Lieut. Jerry Rawlings (who recently murdered the country's former corrupt dictator General Acheampong after the traditional coup) has threatened to shoot hoarders and profiteers.

NIGERIA's democratic constitution was suspended eleven years ago since when it has been ruled by a succession of military governments. The country, rich in oil, wallows in filth, corruption and poverty. Child slaves are employed for £1 a month even by clerks and factory hands, and live on food scraps. Soldiers of the military government stop traffic near their barracks, destroy cars and maim drivers. Brutality is such that taxi-drivers unmercifully run down pedestrians without warning. Corpses rot in cars or by the wayside. Corruption is so widespread that bank clerks expect a bribe to cash a cheque. There are power failures several times a day;



Public executions in Nigeria


traffic lights fail; there are water shortages, few working telephones and hospital treatment by incompetent Black doctors that often results in unnecessary deaths. Pitted roads are littered with animal corpses and stink of the petrefaction of open gutters and piles of rubbish. Muggings are common on ships berthed in Nigeria's ports and have resulted in the murder of one European captain.

The murderous savagery in **UGANDA** under Idi Amin is too well known to need chronicling in detail. It is believed that Amin's Public Safety Unit and State Research Bureau murdered around 250,000 Ugandans during the period of Amin's rule. Most of them were shot or had their brains bashed in with iron bars in daily orgies of murder in military jails, and many were fed to the crocodiles in the Nile. Amin himself kept the head of one of his murdered opponents in a fridge, and ate the livers of others in order to ward off their evil spirits. After Amin's removal by Tanzanian troops and the installation of Yusufu Lule's government, Lule was himself deposed at gunpoint within a matter of weeks in the customary African manner.

President Nyerere's **TANZANIA**, despite its leader's admiration for Marxist-Leninism, is heavily in debt to Western banks due to corrupt and inefficient handling of its economy. As in many African states, food is scarce and fast disappearing from the shops; transportation a shambles. Murder and robbery is rife. Though prone to lecture South Africa on its political system, Tanzania is yet another corrupt one-party dictatorship which keeps thousands of political prisoners rotting in its cells. Literally millions of unwilling peasants have been forced into collectivised villages, on which starvation is commonplace.

In **BURUNDI**, a quarter of a million Hutu tribesmen were murdered by the ruling

Contd. on page 11



Samora Machel, Marxist lunatic

A SIGH FOR MADRID

I AM IN MADRID, in the Prado, this time for Goya. Yesterday, it was Velasquez the master of tone, with a London memory of the Wallace's *Lady with a Fan* and that gloved hand and mere turn of the wrist that is somehow memorable, and with the candid gaze so Spanish in its seriousness and dignity.

Goya is otherwise and presents a darker side. The stark yearning sensuality of Queen Maria Luisa as she stands pompously beside her boring royal husband is frightening. There is no nonsense about these portrayals and one wonders with respect how Goya got away with it. Evidently the Spanish are tough realists.

In the Prado one realises that it is in portraits that the traits of a nation and the precious individuality of its people demand the right to survive and not to get lost in the horrid mess of multi-racialism.

Exhausted by such an art feast, I am thankful to sit outside on a stone bench under the plane trees of the Paseo. But here the show continues, but not in paint. With a shock one suddenly observes the disturbing features of a dwarf or a cripple, while here and there the taciturnity of Goya's *Francisco Baycu* is clearly discernible in some stroller. Is one being followed from those rooms inside? Then a fairer vision is glimpsed as a dark head turns to the adjustment of a shawl — La Maja Vestida. And charming to see is that little boy holding mama's hand. Dressed otherwise he might be Prince Baltasar Carlos; the background of those Guadarrama Mountains in Velasquez' masterpiece is clearly visible within a mile from here. One could be nowhere else in the world except in Spain among Spaniards.

Later, one notes that the public transport is staffed entirely by the natives. In the restaurants, the food is uncompromisingly Spanish and in spite of the swarm of Iberian domestics in London there are still enough left to fill the Madrid establishments. All the shops seem determined to use only their own countrymen behind the counters. If one deviates even slightly from the correct pronunciation of a local word one is met by blank stares. Hardly a soul speaks English. Why should they, with their natural pride in their own race? Until foreigners ask a question, they are hardly noticed, which is refreshing after visiting you-know-where and being pestered by brats and beggars all day long. Everywhere jungle folk, boat people, deprived Patagonian women and draped draculas are noticeably absent. In an essentially Spanish world the Spaniards have survived totally. It is obvious that one part of Europe consciously or unconsciously is rejecting multi-racialism.

I am in London in our Tate Gallery, drawn to the English School by the most English of all our painters, Gainsborough.



The Artist's Daughter Mary, by Gainsborough

Inevitably I find myself standing before the portrait of the artist's daughter, Mary. So much that I love about vanishing England is enshrined in this enchanting picture. The spontaneous brush strokes seem to have been inspired by the freshness of our fields in spring. There is about the face a grave intimacy so often lacking in the commissioned works, and that single pink rose at Mary's breast conjures up another rose — a poet's unofficial English rose. The magic of this painting compounded of love and intuition wedded to the technique of a master holds me spellbound and close to tears. This girl is part of my heritage and culture and I am vain enough to think that no stranger can apprehend her in quite the same way — no Italian, no German and, I think, no negro or half-caste. If we should ever lose this work or the radiant full-length of the artist's daughters in the National Gallery, it would be a personal loss. Sometimes I dread to think how easily such a destruction might be accomplished.

After a last look at *The Pomeranian* I leave that great room. An Asian attendant nods off on his stool. Perhaps he dreams of his own Taj Mahal or of the ladies of the Ajanta Caves. His nap in this present setting seems an appropriate gesture of unconcern.

I am in Trafalgar Square near our National Gallery and the scene bewilders in its variety and lack of conviction. The nearest restaurants are all staffed by foreigners serving foreign and international food. Not far distant, a dear old English fish and chipper is about to close to external

pressures — Asian or International Big Business. On the way home by bus or underground, tickets are provided by the courtesy of Jamaica or Pakistan. Finally in the Earl's Court Road, near where I live, I enter a chemist for some bicarbonate of soda. A dusky female in a sari is puzzled by my request. In curried English hardly recognizable she conducts me to a shelf of deodorants.

Nearly all the food shops and chemists in the Earl's Court Road are owned, managed or staffed by coloureds and an English voice is quite the exception. Then what on earth am I doing in this place? What country is this? Where do I belong? Out in the street I scarcely know where I am. Notices abound in Arabic, Persian, Urdu, Japanese and Lord-knows-what. Crow-like, Arab women in visors shuffle about in small restless coveys making harsh clucking noises. A pair of black lolloping creatures, their wool in long multi ringlets (plastered with cow dung?) rush past with wild eyes. I am unnerved by these medusas and their savage aspect and the total lack of human feeling they engender. Will they breed here? How much social security are they getting? Do they squat or perch on a toilet seat? Would they be socially acceptable in the prime minister's nice drawing room in Flood-Swamp Street?

Now an Arab passes with a pale biped he has picked up during his pilgrimage from one of Allah's paradises to this greater more profitable boozing, gambling, fornicating Mecca. Perhaps they will cut off his hand! Feeling a trifle hysterical I collide with something solid and slit-eyed from inner Outer Mongolia. He drops his fried chicken bone and gibbers.

The fauna of the Earl's Court Road is crying for the tortured brush of some modern Goya. For a moment one solitary Englishman sighs for Madrid. Who can save us? Perhaps some who read this will know.



RAILWAYMEN!



Are you a worker on British Rail or on the tubes? You are! Great! Then you should join the National Front Railwaymen's Association. NFRA, c/o Excalibur House, 73 Great Eastern Street, London EC2.

Show the Flag

FLAGS, ROSETTES,
POLES ETC.

SEND STAMP FOR LIST

W. BROWN, 20 SUTTON WAY,
HESTON, MIDDXX, TW5 OJA

• ROOTS OF ROOTS

It is now firmly established that *Roots*, Negro novelist Alex Haley's saga of slavery on which the TV soap opera was based, as well as the TV follow-up *Roots II*, represents a gigantic fraud perpetrated on the public. Millions of TV viewers swallowed wholesale these fictionalised tales of slavery as a Gospel-truth account of Alex Haley's Black ancestors.

However, Haley has now paid "hundreds of thousands of dollars" in an out-of-court settlement to novelist Harold Courlander, author of *The African*, published in 1967, following copyright-infringement charges brought against Haley. Haley admitted that "materials" from Courlander's novel "inadvertently" had "found their way" into his best-seller. Courlander's lawyers were prepared to cite 81 passages plagiarised from their client's novel. Terms of the settlement were not revealed, but Courlander was reported "not unhappy" with it. He had asked for 60 per cent of the profits from *Roots*.

Courlander's success may inspire another White author, Dr. Margaret Alexander, to pursue further her claims that parts of *Roots* were copied from her book *Jubilee*, depicting life in Georgia during the Civil War. Haley, of course, never did repudiate the charge by the *Sunday Times* that his bogus ancestor Kunte Kinte could not have sailed to America in 1767 and thus was no relative of his, nor the charge that a geneological investigation of his background was quite impossible as records of births and deaths of Negro slaves were not kept. According to *New York Magazine*, the *Roots II* follow-up is "crammed with fake encounters, invented dialogue, imaginary characters and twisted details, all masquerading as reality."

• NIPON'S RACISM

A recent article in the *Guardian* examined Japanese racialism. Japan, we were told, is a country of "innocent racialists", who do not understand such concepts as discrimination. Racialism is simply a way of life. Discrimination exists everywhere, down to the restaurant which proclaims "no foreigners or their consorts served here." In an earlier century, "foreign traders were confined to a muddy island where they would not offend the Japanese aesthetic sense, or contaminate their racial purity."

Racialism is described as "an automatic reflex" in Japan. The Korean minority is "despised, when it is not totally ignored, as something unclean." There is an ingrained belief that marrying a foreigner contaminates Japanese blood. "Seventy per cent of Japanese parents hire a private investigator to vet the ancestry of prospective in-laws."

Even second or third generation Koreans are second-class citizens, with no entitlement to council housing, loans, pension rights or civil service jobs. "Japan's reluctance to accept Vietnamese refugees exemplifies

IN BRIEF

the feeling among Japanese of wanting to maintain a 'pure' society." Japan "makes all foreigners... feel they are transient visitors."

Now you would think that all this racialism would be extremely upsetting to *Guardian* readers. But no. One Daniel Schlesinger, writing in the letters page, informed us that Japanese 'exclusiveness' has its purpose. "*It helps preserve their cultural integrity.*" Fine. Why can't we do the same?

• JUNGLE NEWS

In a surprisingly frank programme, *TV Eye* on 28th June dealt with the Black crime wave in the jungle area of Watts, near Los Angeles. Los Angeles, they said, is fighting a rising tide of Black crime. A number of Negro criminals, 50 over the past 2 years, have been shot dead by the police. One was a Black woman who held up two shops with a starter pistol; another Black woman fired 5 shots from a shotgun at policemen before they killed her. The inevitable anti-police campaign by the local TV, newspapers and clergy has naturally described these incidents as "cold blooded murders."

The police describe themselves as "like a mercenary force." Helicopters and techniques used in Vietnam are now being employed to combat the Black crime wave. A priest was wheeled on to condemn the police as "an army of legalised murderers."

A White policeman working in the Watts district said that, since the area turned Black, "90 per cent of the people we come into contact with are assholes. They're crazy." Trying to control Black crime was "like shovelling crap against the tide."

• COMMUNISM v CAPITALISM?


We all know that any alleged relationship between International Finance and Communism designed ultimately to bring about a 'world order' is a myth of dangerous fanatics addicted to "conspiracy theories." The real position, of course, as Conservatives and other profound thinkers tell us, is that Communism is at the throat of Western Capitalism.

Such thinkers therefore may have been puzzled by the recent report that the Soviet Union had expressed interest in joining the International Monetary Fund and that American banker Lawrence J. Brainard detected among Soviet economists "an active interest in bringing the Soviet Union into the wider world monetary system." For

its part, Western capitalists are interested in the possibility of a link between the transferable rouble (the currency of the COMECON bloc, Communism's Common Market) and the IMF's Special Drawing Rights. SDR is intended to become a "world currency".

• REPAYING OUR HOSPITALITY

Millionaire capitalist Sir Rudi Sternberg, created Lord Plurenden by his confidant and friend Harold Wilson, is accused in a recent book of passing secrets to East Germany. Sternberg, who came to Britain as a German-Jewish refugee from Hitler and died last year, became head of a huge chemical empire with contacts in Communist hierarchies across Europe. Author Richard Deacon claims in *The British Connection* that MI5 built up a dossier on Sternberg's activities at the time he was importing £20 million worth of potash every year from the East Germans.



• ANOTHER THIRD WORLD RIP-OFF

Once again the Government has thrown away your money on the leeches of Afro-Asia. This time Britain has just written off Pakistan's debt liability of £82 million. As ever an example to the world in giving a helping hand to the world's 'underprivileged', Britain is the first country ever to write off such a large debt.

• DEFENCE NOTES

This is the state of our Royal Navy:—

	1953	1977
Aircraft carriers	11	2
Cruisers	26	2
Destroyers	107	10
Frigates	161	60
Minesweepers	176	35

The two aircraft carriers dated from the 50's and are now converted into commando carriers. One of our two cruisers is now "in reserve", i.e. waiting to be scrapped. As for destroyers, 3 out of 4 Bristol class destroyers were cancelled after our aircraft carriers were scrapped. The declining number of minesweepers is causing grave concern to defence chiefs. Defence plans now have to rely on NATO to provide minesweepers to keep our ports open in any emergency. At any one time, a third of our warships are not available due to refits, docking etc., so in the event of war Britain would rely on 20-odd minesweepers, all at least 25 years old, and anything we could scrounge from NATO. The Royal Navy now has no true aircraft carriers.

REDS RISING IN THE MEDIA

WHEN people talk of the way in which the media is "controlled" and, as often as not, talk of the way in which the media is used as a bludgeon to bash at the National Front, they often have in mind the big super-capitalists, international Zionists and multinational corporation bosses who actually own the newspapers and TV networks.

Certainly the will of those who own the various news and information mediums is still the biggest factor in determining the content of the output of their organs. But another force that uses the media to systematically create, suppress and distort 'news' and generally influence the political and intellectual climate is the revolutionary Marxist Left.

The Communist Party, of course, has always encouraged its adepts to go into the media, seeing this as one of the fruitful and inevitable outcomes of its infiltration of colleges and universities. (The career of Kim Philby was another outcome.) But with the emergence in the last decade or so of groups on the Trotskyite, Anarchist and Mao-ist ultra Left, the process of Marxist media infiltration has been stepped up. The last five years or so has seen an intensification of that process.

The process of infiltration has been a two-pronged affair: the infiltration of comrades into the profession of journalism — in one hop from university to Fleet Street where possible, otherwise by joining the staff of a local or 'trade' newspaper; and the capturing of the National Union of Journalists and using it as a means to obtain a drift of editorial power away from editors to the editorial staff who act as a body.

One might well ask why don't editors get together to at least impede this process by simply not employing revolutionary Marxists on their staffs. The obvious answer is that in the case of, say, a local newspaper editor taking on a 'cub' reporter, it is not always possible to tell the dangerous subversive in the making from the silly 'idealistic' young twerp going through a Left-leaning phase. And the Left-leaning twerp may be recruited into the ranks of the hard-core subversives after he has been taken on.

Another reason is that the rot within the journalistic profession is now so widespread that there are an ever-increasing number of innocent-sounding local and trade papers which have editors who are themselves hard-core Reds.

STAFF NEEDED

Yet another reason is that the media — newspapers, TV, advertising agencies, public relations firms etc. — need skilled, qualified and talented people as staff. They have to take the product of our educational system. And, as we know, the educational system in this country is riddled with Marxist influence.

It is odds-on, therefore, that when an editor conducts an interview with a would-be journalist the editor will not be wondering whether he is dealing with some kind of a Leftist, but what kind.

Subversives, once identified, cannot simply be disposed of by means of the sack. Quite apart from the industrial power of the NUJ ('news' is a terribly perishable commodity with a life of only a few hours), the whole of the political atmosphere of the world of journalism — where it has not been pervaded by open Marxism — is rank with what is called 'liberalism'. Thus editors cower with fear at the thought of being called a "McCarthyite witch-hunter".

Where Reds are caught out in some improper act and fired, a campaign is got up not only to depict the comrade as a "martyr" but also to harass and embarrass those responsible for the sacking so as to make them think twice about sacking another comrade.

Nowadays, a Red journalist may expect his career to be advanced by a sacking... as in the case of Denis MacShane who, sacked a couple of years ago from BBC Radio London for involvement in a scandal involving a phone call from a bogus "member of the public" broadcast on one of his programmes, has ended up being appointed President of the NUJ! Not only that, a £6,000 a year honorarium was attached to the post during his period of office!

One of the principal self-proclaimed purposes of the NUJ is to uphold high ethical standards in the profession of journalism!

It was on the basis of the "high professional standards" ideal that the NUJ voted to deny to any person who was a member of the National Front or who was otherwise "racialist" membership of the NUJ. Concurrent with that decision was the launching of an NUJ campaign to secure a system of 100 per cent NUJ 'closed shops' for all newspapers and news organisations in Britain.

There can't be any doubt that one of the chief springboards of the campaign to get more Reds into the profession of journalism and to capture for the Marxist cause the NUJ lies in North London — to be more precise, in the North London Branch of the NUJ.


I have discussed the activities of the Reds in this snakepit in my May, 1977 article "NUJ Mindbenders show their hand". This revealed details of a series of "recommendations" on how journalists were expected to use their positions to make a propaganda war against organisations like the National Front.

The power of the North London NUJ Branch is such and the Marxist corruption within the NUJ is so far advanced, that those "recommendations" circulated to the members of just one Branch of the union in

1977 became official national NUJ policy in 1978. This eight point *NUJ Guidelines on Reporting Racist Organisations* now supplements the *NUJ Guidelines on Race*.

This coterie of Reds hatched in the North London NUJ Branch is now spreading its influence into Fleet Street. Those who read the *Evening Standard* will have noted about six months ago that paper acquired a new "Planning Correspondent" in the bearded form of Peter Hounam. Hounam was previously Assistant Editor of the *Hornsey Journal* and formerly Deputy-Chairman of the North London NUJ Branch who was prominently associated with the production of the "recommendations".

Hounam is still closely associated with his former associates in North London such as Eric Gordon (pictured), Editor of the



Camden Journal, Chairman of the North London NUJ Branch, former editor of an English language newspaper in Red China, husband of a negro wife and father of Kim Gordon, leader of 'Flame' — the Socialist Workers' Party's organisation for Blacks.

Hounam is also associated with Howard Hannah, Chief Reporter on the *Camden Journal*; Nick Brett, a *Camden Journal* reporter and Aidan White, a reporter on the staff of the Marxist controlled *East Ender*. He also keeps close contact with his former assistant on the *Hornsey Journal* Kathy Lowe who despite (because?) of the fact she is a Marxist (and presumably, therefore, an atheist) has somehow managed to get a job with the World Council of Churches!

Hounam is not the only Red to get a highly-paid job in Fleet Street. Last month the *Daily Mirror* took onto its staff the former Editor of the *Socialist Worker* Paul Foot — still currently an SWP member and a *Socialist Worker* columnist — for £12,000 a year!

MacShane, Hounam, Foot and Co. all represent a growing power within the media. The extent to which they have made progress despite the wishes of the 'Establishment' in the mass media, or because of secret 'Establishment' patronage is something that must be painstakingly researched if we are to counter-attack against the media's assaults on us — and infiltrate that institution ourselves.

THE DARK CONTINENT

Contd. from page 7

Tutsi in 1972; and in **ETHIOPIA**, which practised full-blown slavery under Haile Selassie, its murderous Marxist rulers have tortured and murdered thousands, leaving their dead bodies to rot in the streets.

In **CHAD**, a dictatorship since the early 1960's, religious believers have suffered persecution and extermination. Thousands of Christians were murdered in the early seventies, and in November 1973, one of the country's numerous Presidents had twelve priests buried alive. Cannibalism is still rife in **GABON**, where one human flesh-eating tribe that files its teeth is called the Fang Tribe. Cannibalism is also practised in Zaire by tribes on the Congo River. In **ZANZIBAR**, when 13,000 Arabs were murdered by Blacks, they drove around the city afterwards with Arab genitals displayed on the windscreens and roofs of cars.

Finally, what of **KENYA**, always portrayed as a model of order and multi-racial harmony? In a recent letter to the *Sunday Telegraph* a British woman described the country after living there for five years. Dishonesty, theft and violence are accepted as normal in Kenya, where every new house is routinely built with iron bars to protect windows and doors. Public administration is disintegrating. You can wait in a bank for two hours to cash a cheque; a broken street light may, one day, be repaired. Employers of expatriate White staff routinely provide security guards to protect their persons and property day and night. "There cannot be a person in Kenya who has not been robbed or cheated not once but many times, ranging from irritating petty pilfering to an organised nocturnal attack by a gang armed with pangas who are quite prepared to meet resistance with murder. Any impression of racial harmony is an illusion... the races generally distrust and avoid each other wherever possible."

The writer concludes:—

"If Rhodesia is to follow Kenya's example of 'hope and harmony' its people will have to tolerate an abysmal standard of administration, ethics, personal and public safety, medical care and education; and to cultivate the habit of constant mistrust, suspicion and vigilance.

"It is consoling to reflect, however, that Kenya is undoubtedly one of the more stable and better organised countries in the shambles that is Black Africa."

If anyone thinks that Kenya sounds a little like certain British inner cities, that, of course, is the result of importing Africa into Britain.

It's the same story throughout the whole of Black Africa: starvation, corruption, incompetence and savagery. It is what happens when Africans get their 'freedom'; it is what will soon erupt in "Zimbabwe-Rhodesia"; and it is what the United Nations wants to impose on South Africa.

GREAT BRITISH RACIALISTS

No. 3 CHARLES DARWIN (1809-1882)

IN 1859 the world was shaken by the publication of Charles Darwin's *The Origin of Species*, or to give it its full title, *The Origin of Species by Means of Natural Selection, or the Preservation of Favoured Races in the Struggle for Life*. Few books have had such a revolutionary influence on the thought of their time, and on scientific thought right up to the present day. In *The Origin of Species* Darwin opened the way to the understanding that Man was not a special creation but a highly evolved animal species. What he had discovered through a study lasting twenty years was the transmutation process by which animal and plant life, under the influence of what he called 'natural selection', evolved into new and higher species.

Darwin's findings were largely observed during his five year journey, begun in 1831, as a naturalist aboard *HMS Beagle*, which charted the coasts of South America. The rest of his life was occupied in documenting the discoveries of his voyage and publishing *The Origin*.

Challenging religious orthodoxy as it did, Darwin anticipated for months the hysterical opposition that was to greet the publication of *The Origin of Species*. It was for this reason that he omitted explicit discussion of the evolution of man, apart from one cryptic sentence, though he dealt with it fully in his later book *The Descent of Man*. He was away at Ilkley, convalescing, when the storm of controversy broke, a controversy from which Darwin himself stayed clear for the rest of his life. Though violently and maliciously attacked, the book was a stunning success and sold 1,200 copies. His contemporary T. H. Huxley's reaction was: "How extremely stupid not to have thought of that."


Once Darwin had established the principles of evolutionary development in animal species, it was inevitable that they would be applied not simply to Man but to the races of Man. Darwin's first reference to racial differences emerging under the evolutionary process appears in *The Descent of Man*, published in 1871. It began with a comparison of physical similarities and differences between the races, and then observed:

"The races differ also in constitution, in acclimatisation and in liability to certain diseases. Their mental characteristics are likewise very distinct; chiefly it would appear in their emotional but partly in their intellectual faculties."

By 1867 Darwin was writing that: "The American aborigines, Negroes and Europeans are as different from each other in mind as any three races that can be named."

One of Darwin's later notebooks actually makes reference to the belief of his colleague, T. H. Huxley, that Negroes were mentally inferior. "Ideots" was a word used.

It was left to followers of Darwin to develop his thoughts on racial origins and to



demonstrate that, if Man had originated not by special creation but by evolution, it followed that the human races represented stages in this process, or more accurately different branches of an evolutionary tree. The German scholar Carl Vogt, in his *Vorlesungen über den Menschen* written four years after *The Origin* and eight years before *The Descent of Man* (which refers constantly to Vogt's work), was the first to postulate the now accepted theory that the different races of man evolved separately from different ancestral species.

It is a great irony of history that Karl Marx offered to dedicate *Das Kapital* to Darwin, an offer which Darwin respectfully declined. Darwin himself never applied his theories in a social context, but many of his followers, who came to be called 'Social Darwinists', did perceive that his concepts of heredity, natural selection and the survival of the fittest furnished the explanation for developments in the social life of man, and thus challenged the Marxist interpretation of society as determined by the economic environment. Social Darwinist ideas soon came into extreme disfavour, and until recently have been condemned as the 19th century precursors of Nazi theories of race. Today, however, advances in the science of behavioural genetics, or 'sociobiology', have now vindicated the work of those who in the 19th century applied Darwin's brilliantly original ideas to human society. Sociobiology's discovery that human behaviour is governed by genetically inherited instincts shaped by Darwinian evolution is probably the greatest blow ever struck against Marxism's materialist interpretation of the human condition.

During June and July National Front Chairman John Tyndall undertook a speaking tour of parts of the United States at the invitation of individuals and political groups there interested in the work of the National Front in Britain. In this tour he met a wide range of groups and personalities, some by arrangement, some by circumstance, and was able to discuss with them many matters of common interest. Because of these matters of common interest, it should not be assumed that all the policies and activities of all such groups and personalities are supported by the National Front or that all the policies and activities of the NF are in turn supported by them. Mr. Tyndall's object was to make contact with a very broad spectrum of racial nationalists and fighters against Communism – with a view to obtaining the greatest possible interchange of ideas – and this was bound to include persons with whom the National Front has some differences of approach, particularly as they campaign in a different country and in a political environment in some ways different.

JOHN TYNDALL

AMERICAN JOURNEY

world land speed record attempts – for reasons that are obvious when one first sets eyes on the very flat and spacious sands there. No such speed records were being attempted during my stay but instead family cars of holidaymakers and trippers drove up and down the beach all day and all night – an interesting sight that I do not recall having seen anywhere on the beaches of Britain.

During the journey down from Atlanta I asked my companion who was driving how he managed to cope with President Carter's 55 mph speed limit. His reply was to produce for my inspection a little device known as the 'Fuzzbuster', an electronic gadget that gave out a loud 'bleeping' noise as soon as Police radar was in the vicinity, thus giving the driver time to slow down and avoid traps. Rather astonishingly, the fuzzbuster has not yet been outlawed in all states. There are some who nevertheless consider it improper to use it on grounds of civic duty. For my part I am inclined to think that authorities who impose such a ridiculous law as the 55 mph limit must expect the ingenious Americans to come up with some method of rendering it ineffectual. On the other hand I can sympathise with the highway patrolman whose job it is to enforce Carter's folly with fuzzbusters everywhere!

CIVIL WAR A BIG THING

Arriving back in the Atlanta area, I was the guest for a day or so of Sam Dickson, a young lawyer who lives in Decatur, a nearby suburb. Sam Dickson ran a short time ago for the governorship of Georgia and with only a tiny machine to support him obtained a very impressive poll of 11%. He runs a small group called the Oak Leaf Committee, which is made up of some highly intelligent people, mainly young, whom it was my pleasure to meet one evening when he invited them all to a party held in welcome to me at his home.

The following evening the Atlanta meeting took place. The main initiative for forming the 'Welcome John Tyndall Committee', under whose auspices the meeting was held, came from Dr. Edward R. Fields, National Secretary of the National States Rights Party and Editor of *The Thunderbolt* newspaper, both of which support racial segregation. Because the timing of my American tour had had to be arranged at rather short notice, it had not been possible to advertise the meeting to any great extent. The fuel shortage also discouraged many people who did know about it from coming in cases where this involved a long drive. Nevertheless, there were between 100 and 200 people present including some who had

flown all the way from New Jersey, 700 miles away.

While giving the audience a brief resume of the development of the National Front, I devoted most of my speech to a subject of mutual concern to Britons and Americans, the future of the White Race. My principal theme in this regard was that the main racial problem was not the 'Black problem' but the **White Problem**. If the White people overcame their own internal weaknesses, which all derived from the influence of liberalism and its fatal tendency to infect the White nations, there is no external threat that they could not withstand.

The meeting was followed by a delightful dinner at a nearby restaurant at which I was able to renew the pleasant and interesting conversations that I had had with the members of the Oak Leaf Committee. I am prompted to say at this point that at most of the eating places I visited in the Southern US the standards of service and civility were very high. This was particularly noticeable at motorway restaurants, where such standards compared very favourably with those in Britain. The intensive competition no doubt has something to do with this. There are some good things we take for granted in our own country which one misses in America but efficient, clean and polite service in roadside eating places is not one of them.

My next port of call was at the home of Wilmot Robertson, the Editor of *Instauration* magazine, a highly articulate and stimulating monthly that is enjoying growing popularity among my colleagues in the NF. Mr. Robertson and his charming family were first class hosts and made me feel very much at home in their beautiful house in the country, where I stayed for one night. Accompanying me was Sam Dickson and together with Mr. Robertson we had some very fruitful discussions.

I then stayed three days with Dr. Fields and his wife, Jane, in Marietta, just North-West of Atlanta, during which I was shown Atlanta city centre late at night from the bar at the top of a tall tower, taken over the old Civil War battlefield of Kennesaw Mountain and spent an afternoon floating in a dinghy down a nearby river with the quaint name of (I think I have got it right) 'Chattahoochee'.

OAK LEAF COMMITTEE

The Civil War is a big thing with Americans and particularly in the South. Southerners proudly claim that the best side lost and then only because of the preponderance of numbers and industrial might of the victors. Certainly the fact that the Confederate armies lasted as long as they did against this preponderance is a tribute to

THE SOUTHERN STATES, comprising the old Confederacy, is the part of America where British stock, British cultural traditions and pro-British sentiment are most predominant, and it was in the Southern States that the greater part of my recent trip took place. I met and talked with people in Georgia, North Carolina, Florida, Tennessee and Mississippi and set foot briefly in Louisiana and Arkansas. I then made a short stop in Washington, DC before flying home.

I had heard much about Southern hospitality and was able in my trip to confirm to myself how deserved that reputation is. Everywhere where I went I met with great kindness, friendliness and genuine interest in what is going on in Britain. We in the National Front place great emphasis, and rightly so, on the kith-and-kin link with our blood relations in the White Commonwealth. We would do well to take note of how strong a similar link exists with the American Southerners, of whom about five-sixths of the White populace is of British descent. Meeting and looking at these people, who comprise some of the very finest Anglo-Celtic types, one is struck by a sense of the tragedy of the events of 200 years ago whereby because of the small squabbles of a few small people on both sides of the Atlantic, motivated by very momentary political grievances, a great race became divided in its destinies.

The first stop in my tour was Atlanta, Georgia, which is the largest city in the South and the one centre there which has a direct air link with Britain. I was due to speak a few days later in Atlanta at a meeting organised by the 'Welcome John Tyndall Committee'.

Before this, however, a brief trip to Florida had been arranged which was essentially a holiday fixed up by my hosts, although much of the time during it was taken up by my political discussions both on international affairs and on the internal organisational problems of White nationalist movements. The place selected was Daytona Beach, famous as the site chosen for several

their qualities as fighting men. The Civil War was just one more of those genetic disasters which White people have the habit of bringing upon themselves and which always end up by serving alien causes far more than any White cause, whatever the military result.

Some Southerners indeed are still fighting the Civil War, as I learned later in my tour. This is not to say that they want a revival of the blood-letting but that they still believe in the cause of Southern secession which was one of the contributory factors leading to the war. It is not for me as a Briton to take sides in this American issue, of course, but it is interesting to note that one of the strongest elements making for the Southern secessionist movement is the feeling that 'America', as a nation founded on the melting-pot theory, has not gelled together as intended and that a new nation based on the homogeneous ethnic roots of an almost wholly North European, and predominantly British, White population, would function better. I even heard some Northerners express this view.

Americans must of course solve this question themselves. Where non-Americans ought to be concerned with it is in the lesson that it provides for other nations not yet irrevocably committed to the melting-pot idea but already travelled some way in that direction, such as Canada, Australia and of course Britain. As one Southern friend said to me, the melting-pot has not melted. We would do well to take note.

MEMPHIS

From Atlanta I flew to Memphis, Tennessee, where I addressed a meeting called by the local Citizens' Council. For the next three days my main host and companion was Mr. Elmore Greaves, who lives in the neighbouring state of Mississippi. Mr. Greaves is a lawyer specialising in the legal side of the oil and gas business and is a very ardent Southerner and one of those who believe in the cause of Southern independence. I was met at Memphis airport by a local associate of his, a gentleman with the fine name of Robert E. Lee McCampbell. Mr. McCampbell showed me a bit of the area including the mighty Mississippi River before driving me to the meeting which, I later learned, he had worked hard to promote. He is a tireless worker for the cause of the White Man in the Southern States of the USA.

My speech, based broadly along the same lines as the one in Atlanta, was very kindly received by a generous and friendly audience, with whom afterwards I had many enjoyable conversations — including one with a gentleman who was born in Hull, Yorkshire.

The next morning I gave two interviews to TV stations in Memphis during which I was able to give viewers a few facts about the NF in Britain.

Mr. Greaves then drove me down to his home in Mississippi, making a slight detour

to the town of Vicksburg, where, with the guidance of another friend and colleague of his, Mr. Ronnie Kennedy, we toured around another Civil War battlefield, dotted everywhere with memorials erected by the various states whose regiments took part. The tour was accompanied by a commentary on the battle which was nonetheless enjoyable and fascinating for being somewhat partial!

Later my two friends and I enjoyed a meal at the Southern Tea Room in Vicksburg, where we were served by a very friendly and civil negress reminiscent of one of the large Black nannies from the older movies. This and other observations of mine on the Black populace of the South stimulated a lengthy discussion on — yes, race relations! The conclusion reached was that race relations in that part of America were a good deal better than in many other parts of the world. The average Southern Black respects the power and ability of the White Man and knows that he alone can run the highly developed modern society that America has become. The Blacks do not show anything like the cockiness and arrogance that one sees from some of their co-racialists in Britain or, I am informed, in other parts of the USA. On Saturday night in Vicksburg and similar places the Blacks gather in the town and get thoroughly stoned, with knife fights breaking out all over the place, mainly among Blacks themselves. The local Whites, accustomed to this, give the town centres a wide berth on such occasions. Otherwise the Blacks are not too much trouble except when they are stirred up by politically motivated agitators from outside.

NOT A SINGLE MULATTO

I did not see one single mulatto in the area; the Blacks are very Black and the Whites very White. This no doubt has something to do with the old Southern tradition by which Blacks sexually accosting White girls one night were liable to end up hanging from some magnolia tree the next.

On to Mr. Greaves' home where I stayed for two nights. He, his wife and his family were very kind to me throughout, with much good food, drink and conversation.

I then spoke at a meeting in Jackson, Mississippi, organised by the Governor's Patriotic Advisory Committee, once again with a friendly and most receptive audience.

After the meeting I flew to Washington, via Atlanta, for the last lap of my tour. Here I gave a radio interview to a station run by Liberty Lobby, perhaps the most powerful patriotic organisation in the United States. From the questions asked in this and the other interviews it is clear that Americans have a totally distorted view of the new Thatcher Government, imagining it to be a hard-line right wing government of genuinely patriotic intent. Everywhere I had to tell people that Thatcherism is just another form of liberalism and that the Government is

subservient to the same masters as all the others we have had for many years.

While in Washington I also met Dr. William R. Pierce of the National Alliance, perhaps the most radical of all the political groups with which I came into contact. I had a number of most useful conversations with Dr. Pierce at his office and at his home, where I was most hospitably received.

During this time I met a few of Dr. Pierce's political associates at an informal party which he arranged and spent an evening answering questions from them about the National Front and the political scene in Britain. The National Alliance, while radical in its philosophy, certainly does not give the impression of being made up of political tearaways and eccentrics; on the contrary, the people I met, from its leader downwards, were intelligent and serious men and women who appeared to have thought out their politics very carefully.

I had a short time, too short really, to look at Washington itself while there. Architecturally it is one of the most European of American cities, with many fine public buildings situated in a spacious setting and with a town plan symmetrically designed. It is this impression that strikes one first as one enters the city by the main routes.

DEPRESSING PICTURE

It is only when one gets off the broad, long, showpiece avenues and away from the civic centre that one is reminded forcibly that Washington, DC is 80 per cent Black in its demographic make-up. To get some gifts to take home I ventured into a shopping area that brought this fact home to me. The scene presented a depressing picture of what can happen to a fine city if the coloured element takes over. Washington, DC is Birmingham, Leicester, Wolverhampton, Nottingham, Bradford, Liverpool, Manchester and parts of London — even further advanced. And unlike the towns of the American South, where despite a large Black presence one still is made to feel that the White Man is in control, Washington gave all the impression of a place where the American White had become a cowed and depressed person. Any Briton who can walk these streets and then go back home thinking that the multi-racial experiment can succeed must be an imbecile.

I flew home to London overnight on July 5th/6th, greatly enriched by my experience. To all those in America who made my trip possible and who extended so many kindnesses to me during it, I express a warm Thank You! And to members of the NF here at home may I give the encouraging report that our movement is mightily admired the other side of the ocean.

Our magazine, though it believes in racial differences, opposes race-hatred. All those who oppose multi-racialism should attack the politicians who promote it, not the immigrants, who are merely its victims.

Reprinted with acknowledgements to ON TARGET.

JAMES CROSSBOW

THE SO-CALLED 'ENERGY CRISIS'

IN MY ARTICLE 'The Looting of the West' I outlined the Grand Design of the Western Establishment to reduce Western living standards to Afro-Asian levels as a giant step to the One World merger.

As I shall show the so-called "energy crisis" is a means to this end.

Great publicity has been given to assurances by British and American leaders that energy supplies are running out and consumption and living standards will have to be cut. These assertions led to President Carter's programme to cut energy consumption by raising prices and cutting production. In a muddled sort of way the public see this as a "good thing" — an attack on waste.

The argument is that energy shortages will lead to industrial depression in the West and starvation in the Third World. British politicians have jumped on the bandwagon — look at the Wedgwood Benn energy saving programme.

The reality is that there is a glut of conventional energy for thousands of years to come; that nuclear power is safe, and that energy shortages and price rises have been deliberately engineered by an unholy alliance of the great Rockefeller dominated oil companies and One World politicians.

One way of lowering Western living standards is to end and even reverse growth, an objective proclaimed by Carter's radical energy adviser, David Freeman, in the 1974 Ford Foundation study *A Time to Choose: America's Energy Future*. The immediate aim of a fifty per cent cut in living standards was put forward by a top One World planning group, the Club of Rome. The long-term objective of reducing every human being on earth to the same level was proclaimed at the 1978 Bilderberg Conference held in secret at Torquay.

THE REAL SITUATION

Let us look at the real energy situation. Carter claims that the world's natural gas reserves will run out in ten years. Yet the American Gas Association and the Energy Research and Development Association claim that but for US government price and production controls, there would be enough natural gas from proven resources to last 2,500 years at current rates of consumption. (*Wall Street Journal*, 27th April, 1977.)

The assertions that oil supplies are running out were refuted by the US Geological Survey and associated reports showing 1973 estimates of world oil resources as at least 6,000 billion barrels, against present world consumption of 12 billion barrels a year — 300 years' supply. Even on Carter's Moody Institute figures there was 100 years' supply. The latest US Geological Survey estimate was 800 years' supply (13th June, 1977) and led to its Director, Dr. Vincent McKelvey, being sacked by the US Government. These figures

preceded the discovery of the new Mexican reserves — several times greater than those of the Middle East.

Western politicians have heavily relied on CIA estimates of a fall in Soviet production and hence greater pressure on prices. Leaving aside the fact that without western subsidies the Soviets could not compete on world markets there is ample evidence that CIA reports are doctored to suit Rockefeller interests. The *Guardian* recently reported that at least three major official reports repudiated this — and others were reported in the *Financial Times* (13th September, 1977). *Newsweek* (2nd May, 1977) reported that Defence Intelligence Agency reports refute the CIA figures. Perhaps that is why new CIA Chief, Admiral Stansfield Turner is now purging all anti-Communists from both agencies. Despite overwhelming evidence to the contrary, the Admiral has informed Congress that Soviet production will rapidly drop and Saudi Arabian production will begin to run out by 1980.

The general belief that nuclear fuel is inherently dangerous was put most forcibly by Carter's radical energy adviser, David Freeman, who claimed that plutonium was 20,000 times more deadly than cobra venom. However, Colorado University's Dr. Peter Beckman informs us in *The Hazards of Not Going Nuclear* that a sheet of paper is sufficient to shield one against plutonium radiation at close quarters, and that coffee is only ten times less toxic. Dr. Margaret Maxey of Detroit University estimates nuclear fuel plant as 30,000 times safer than fossil fuel plant. She might have added that they do not pump carbon dioxide into the atmosphere. I might add that my own talks with those who have visited areas after nuclear tests lead to the suspicion that the Establishments of all countries are deliberately exaggerating nuclear terror to intimidate their own populations.

The potential energy production from nuclear plant was demonstrated recently by the President of the Atomic Industrial Forum who has said that existing supplies of "used" uranium could generate over 500 years of existing US electrical consumption. This approach was heavily reinforced by reports in the *Times* (22nd November, 1977) that the Japanese are planning plants to extract from the sea the 4.2 billion tons of uranium in the sea. It is of course because this makes nonsense of an energy shortage that the Carter Administration has tried to impose a world ban on plutonium fuel use.

Finally, of course, there is King Coal.

American Opinion (June 1977) reported US reserves at 437 billion tons definitely established.

"SHORTAGE" AND PRICE RIGGING

It is thus apparent that there is no energy crisis. How then are the 'Looters of the West' pursuing their objective of persuading us to act as if there is one? The means are two fold, cutting energy production and raising energy prices in the US, and then pushing the remainder of the West to follow suit.

There is ample evidence to support this. The winter issue 1976/77 of *Foreign Affairs*, "insider" journal of the One Worlder Council on Foreign Relations which has controlled US politics on pro-Communist lines since the 1920's reported a personal letter from Sheikh Yamani, Saudi Arabian Energy Minister to US Treasurer Secretary, Simon, on 3rd September, 1975 saying:

"I would like you to know that there are those among us who think that the US Administration does not really object to an increase in oil prices. There are even those who think that you encourage it for obvious political reasons and that any official position taken to the contrary is merely to cover up the fact."

Moreover the US Jack Anderson column (21st September, 1976) reported that Kissinger had sacked Ambassador Atkins for asking Saudi Arabia to lower the oil price and that Yamani had told Atkins that "Kissinger in secret is doing everything possible to jack the oil price up."

Just as the One Worlders engineered world oil price rises, so internal US and other price rises and shortages were similarly engineered. We all know how the Gas Boards in Britain are being forced by the Government against their expressed wishes, to raise gas prices towards the level of electricity prices. Professor Barbe of West Virginia University wrote on 30th May, 1976 that "... the recent shortage of energy was deliberately brought about by the big oil and gas companies ... the no-drilling programme — without drilling no new reserves and drilling was gradually stopping." *The New Republic* (20th and 27th August, 1977) reported that the big oil companies do not want more oil, and that smaller companies were being denied financial backing by the big Council on Foreign Relations controlled banks like the Chase Manhattan. This is familiar reading to those who remember how the British Government permitted the smashing of the small oil companies and

independent oil distributors in price wars led by the big oil companies.

How blatantly the conspirators operate was shown by Carter's energy chief, Schlesinger, controlling gas at three quarters of economic production price, while making long term deals to import from the Communist and Third Worlds at twice the price.

The utterly fraudulent nature of the energy "conservation" programme is shown by the fact that the Energy Research and Development Department cold-shouldered the inventor of an internal storage process designed to reduce oil imports by some 50 per cent and vehicular pollution by 75% (*American Opinion*, June 1977). This body preferred *Foreign Affairs* to examine Council on Foreign Relations proposals to go in for Chinese Communist style wind energy programmes and to ban technological development in the energy field.

GOVERNMENT CONTROL

The second object of the price rise programme is to massively raise energy prices and to use a large part of the proceeds to compensate welfare recipients for the price rises. This is basically similar to Britain's counter inflation programme of reflatting the economy then freezing wages and indexing welfare payments. The effect of this would be to reduce living standards of the working population to that of welfare payments. Dr. Jack Carlson, Chief Economist US Chamber of Commerce, has estimated that every **working** family in the land would have to pay 3,000 dollars per annum. The fanatically communistic basis was disclosed by Carter's radical energy adviser David Freeman, reported in *Harper's* magazine (August 1977) as calling for a 50% cut in energy consumption, rationing, massive tax increases and income transfers and energy only to be available to those who can show "need and moral worth." He also called for the end of private housing.

As is usual in these Establishment rip-offs, the proceeds were to be split between welfare and the big oil companies, controlled by such giants of capitalism as David Rockefeller, who sees Red China as a model for the West, Lenin Peace Prize winner, billionaire Cyrus Eaton, and Lenin's old friend Dr. Armand Hammer, son of the founder of the US Communist Party, who has a luxury apartment in Moscow. It was a dispute over sharing the loot that led to the first clash in Congress over the energy programme in October 1977. Certainly the oil barons, whose leader David Rockefeller put Carter into the Presidency, seem to have got their cut, despite or perhaps because of the sham battle reported in the *Daily Telegraph* (14th October, 1977) when Carter accused them of making demands that would lead to the biggest 'rip-off' in history. The reality emerged later in that report — the oil companies' profits would go up from 18,000 to

100,000 million dollars a year.

The big problem the conspirators face is time. The present depression has led to furtive price cutting. The *Washington Post* (3rd July, 1977) forecast a glut in three years and quoted a Carter aide as admitting they had to act fast before they were exposed. The principal danger is the split between the Rockefeller and Rothschild wings of the conspiracy, with constant exposes of Rockefeller plans in Rothschild dominated journals. Finally, even respected figures in the Establishment world are beginning to see through the great con-trick. Dr. Milton Friedman (*Newsweek*, 19th

December, 1977) stated:

"The ostensible reason is to promote energy conservation. The real reasons are to transfer control of energy from the market to a government bureaucracy . . . and to redistribute income from some groups to others."

Leftist academic Barry Commoner in the *Washington Post* (29th May, 1977) described the programme as a deception designed to rip 80 billion dollars off the public and give it to big industry.

We have been warned. There is no excuse if we let our own government rip us off in similar manner.

THOUGHT FOR THE MONTH

Within the last two decades, modern liberalism has become the party platform of minority racism. With just a few changes in wording — **race for men, security for liberty, minority rights for inalienable rights** — the whole apparatus of Western liberal thought has moved bag and baggage into the minority camp. The enemy — always the most important figure in any ideology — is no longer dissolute European monarchs, Hamiltonian reactionaries, Southern slave owners, nineteenth century robber barons, twentieth century economic royalists, Italian and German fascists or Japanese militarists. It is now the corporate elite, the military-industrial complex, the white power structure, white racism, WASPs — in short, the Majority.

Modern liberalism, of course, does not admit to being racist. In fact, it pretends to be anti-racist. But every word it speaks, every policy it supports, every programme it publicises, every cause it underwrites, every piece of legislation it introduces is likely to have some direct or tenuous racial connotation . . .

Its original purpose subverted, its original ideals redirected and reinterpreted, the liberalism of today has become a minority religion.

WILMOT ROBERTSON
The Dispossessed Majority

How to obtain SPEARHEAD

Spearhead is available from our office to those who wish to ensure obtaining copies for themselves every month and to those who wish to obtain quantities for redistribution.

Those wishing for copies for themselves each month should take out a subscription by filling in the form below and sending it to us with a cheque or postal order for the amount applicable.

NAME

ADDRESS

The following rates are for 12 issues (please tick in box where applicable):—

British Isles: £3.56 ☐ Overseas unsealed surface mail: £3.80 ☐ Overseas sealed surface mail: £5.72 ☐

Unsealed air-mail Europe: £5.36 ☐ Unsealed air mail Canada, U.S.A., South America, Africa, Middle


East: £5.66 ☐ Unsealed air-mail Australia, New Zealand, Far East: £6.38 ☐

Please note: These overseas rates apply if remittance is by international money order; if remittance is by cheque an additional charge of 25p applies, as our bankers require this as commission for the handling of all foreign cheques.

Discounts for bulk purchases can be obtained as follows:—

10-19 copies: 16p ea.; 20-49 copies: 14p ea.; 50-99 copies: 12p ea.; 100-199 copies: 11p ea.; 200-499 copies: 9p ea.; 500 copies and over: 8p ea. (For advice on postal rates, please contact our office).

All cheques or postal orders should be made out to *Spearhead* and sent to: P.O. Box 105, London E.C.2.



SIR: Surely it is folly for Nationalists to look to the Christian Bible for inspiration and evidence regarding the Racial controversy.

The Bible is not, as those of the Christian-Marxist synthesis suppose, a political manual.

I refer to the persistent, haphazard quotation of Scripture by the 'Right' of so-called 'attitudes' God may or may not have towards miscegenation and even repatriation.

All of the Old Testament references in question are concerned with the command of God for his Nation not to assimilate themselves with the heathen of the surrounding territories. This was in order that they would not import idolatry and sinful practices into a holy race. (Holy at least theoretically. The reader will probably consider the mass slaughter, rape and pillage of the Old Testament as anything but holy!)

It should be remembered that such a barbarous and often superstitious people interpreted a triumph or failure as God's approval or wrath respectively.

Multiracialism is degeneracy, but it is a weak ideology that resorts to scavenging among other ideologies to prop up or respectabilise its own.

Karl Marx was an ideological scrap-monger and the fraudulence of Communist doctrine demonstrates this weakness.

STEPHEN BAXTER (19)
Bury St. Edmunds, Suffolk

SIR: Martin Webster's article entitled "NF won't be built on middle class foundations" has, I suggest, drawn some false conclusions from the election results. He argues that our basic support lies with the dispossessed inner city working class, whilst the middle classes will only support us when faced with a near national collapse. The conclusion drawn is that our policies should be aimed at socialist rather than "right wing" measures.

Surely the great strength of a Nationalist philosophy is its ability to rise above the pettiness of right and left class divisions, and to judge policies on the sole basis of what is in the National interest. Our moral strength must lie in our ability to be above such

sectional interests, and in the power of Nationalism to unite opposing interests. Socialism in contrast can only work by class division and repression.

I also think it is wrong to believe that the electorate is solely motivated by a "what's in it for me" mentality. Philosophical ideals have tremendous power and the patriotic catalyst needed to set them alight can be found in all sections of society. It is true that those with possessions, status and comforts are more likely to be motivated by a desire to protect. However those who do come over to the NF do so having had the moral convictions necessary to make those sacrifices, and in consequence are far more dedicated and valuable. We need support from all the British people.

The desertion of a large part of our support to the Tories was untypical in that the fear of more extreme socialism, and the real crypto-Communist control of the Labour party, led to panic voting.

As an organiser in a Middle Class constituency, I have found no undue bias in our supporters towards Socialism or Toryism. At the election we had a 76 year old gentleman, living in a select area, busily leafletting on our behalf. Tory activists openly told us of their support and intention to vote for us had they not been in such fear of a Labour victory. The support is there, and it will

return again; so let's avoid any hurried conclusions.

C. ROBINSON
Secretary, Slough Branch, NF

SIR: Interesting as your comments are suggesting that the so-called "Holocaust" is a post-war fabrication — I have an open mind on the subject — is it really relevant to our problems today and does it not needlessly arouse our enemies?

DAVID HUGHES
Thornton Heath, Surrey

Yes, it is very relevant — because criticism of racialism is coming increasingly to rely on the argument that racialism led to the Holocaust. Admittedly, even if this were true, it would no more invalidate racialism than the Inquisition invalidates Christianity. However, if it is not true, and a growing number of academics and public figures throughout the world are now rejecting the Six Million as a propaganda fiction and a hoax of gigantic proportions, then one of the most emotive arguments against the racist case is seen to be a hoax in itself. As for arousing our enemies, we might make ourselves marginally more popular in this or that quarter by ceasing to talk about a whole range of taboo subjects. Surely we do not believe that truth should be made a casualty of popularity?

(R.V.)

LETTER OF THE MONTH

Spearhead publishes the best letter to the press on National Front policy every month. Send your cutting to us not later than the 15th of the previous month. You could win a £1 Nationalist Books voucher. This month's winner (below) was published in *The Spectator*.

Frontman

Sir: As a National Front candidate in the general election, perhaps you will permit me to reply to Mr Wheatcroft's article about the NF ('Last Word', 19 May).

Mr Wheatcroft has got it all wrong: the NF did not 'go away'. In fact, we are quite encouraged that so many people voted for us in spite of a concerted attempt to discredit us, to misrepresent our policies, to erect a wall of silence around our manifesto, to allocate us a minimal amount of time on TV and radio, to prevent us using public meeting places, and to subject our candidates and party workers to insults, threats and even physical violence. The *New Statesman* article to which Mr Wheatcroft refers demonstrates the potential popularity of NF policies. That is why the establishment politicians and their lackeys in the media seek to discredit us and ensure that our policies get no publicity. They know full well that if all our policies were as well known as our policy on immigration, we would have an NF government today.

The arguments used by the other parties during the election were as superficial as their policies were moribund. They indicated, all too clearly, that the Establishment parties are only prepared to tinker with the symptoms of our national decline, rather than eradicate its causes. The great moral issues were hardly touched upon in their manifestoes. Instead, they pandered to purely materialistic instincts in a wild scramble for votes, rather than appealing to the nobler ideals and sentiments which alone make a nation great.

As it is, the attempts to thwart the renaissance patriotism spearheaded by the NF have dismally failed, as has been proved by the enormous number of applications for membership which we have received.

I can assure Mr Wheatcroft that the NF will be back, fighting the next election with even greater enthusiasm and determination.

R. G. W. Rickcord
Chairman,
North Bucks National Front.

Trouble shooting

'Dirty Tricks' Deja Vu

The naive have the impression that the power struggle between political parties is conducted by means of disputations in Parliament, in Councils, in the Press, on TV and radio and at meetings, which disputations are resolved by the public voting at elections.

This "civilised" approach may be possible between parties who do not have fundamental ideological gulfs between them and where there is a high degree of shared dependence on the same source of patronage — as in the case of the present day Parliamentary parties.

But where parties — or more properly, movements — representing sharply defined financial, territorial, social or racial interests come into head-on conflict, and where each faction knows that its victory and the continued existence of the other faction are incompatible because of the nature of their respective objectives, then the struggle becomes WAR . . . war by every technique available.

One of the most important techniques of war is the technique of "psychological war"; that is, forms of subversion through propaganda designed to create alarm, despondency and division in the enemy camp with a view to inducing fission.

Political movements are constructed out of a combination of outstanding ideas and outstanding people. If through psychological warfare — "dirty tricks" — one can dislodge the outstanding individuals from the competitor movement or incite them to fight each other, then the capacity of the competitor movement to advance its outstanding ideas is disrupted if not destroyed.

Those who have been following my *Troubleshooting* column over the years will know that I have devoted much space to the activities of the anti-Nationalist psychological warfare units which operate in Britain.

One of the most active of these agencies is that operated by Messrs. Gerry Gable and Maurice Ludmer. Both men are former prominent Communist Party activists, both are Jewish and both have a long record of clandestine association with Zionist organisations.

Gable, a "research journalist", specialises in obtaining what might be called "raw data". He has a conviction arising from a document stealing expedition at the home of the Right Wing historian David Irving. Now a TV journalist, Gable is unique in never allowing his face to be shown on the screen!

Ludmer regularly receives "from person or persons unknown" photocopies of documents removed by "person or persons un-

known" from the homes of Right Wingers and from the offices of Right Wing organisations.

In the case of files comprising names and addresses of members, officials or candidates of an organisation which come Ludmer's way, Ludmer uses these to issue bogus bulletins purporting to come either from the leadership of the organisation concerned, or from a dissident faction within it.

In the case of other documents — some possibly genuine, some clever forgeries — Ludmer uses these to hawk (for cash) sensationalist stories around Fleet Street or the TV and divide the victim organisation. Ludmer and Gable have built up a team of Fleet Street journalists who are ready and anxious to use their 'disinformation' material.

A number of these are journalists on *The Guardian* such as David 'Pallister' — real name David Pallister Clark — a former member of the Trotskyite Socialist Labour League (now WRP) and our old friend Martin Walker. Paul Charman on the *Evening Standard* is another contact, as is Brian Lapping and the gang on Granada TV's *World in Action*, and as is Martin Tomkinson, 'Business News' Editor of *Private Eye*. Another willing igniter of Ludmer/Gable squibs until he died three years ago was Peter Gladstone Smith of the *Sunday Telegraph*.

The adventures which the Gable/Ludmer gang have been involved in against the NF go back to the very earliest days of our party. When there was an agitation in 1970 to depose the then NF Chairman A. K. Chesterton, the NF membership was flooded with bogus bulletins. Some purported to have been issued by the NF leadership, while others purported to have come from the dissident faction. Each variety of bogus bulletin advised recipients that they had been expelled from the NF!

The 'dirty tricks' department was able to issue such notices to NF members because shortly before the inauguration of the anti-Chesterton faction within the party the NF's offices (then at Fleet Street) were raided by a gang of thugs who ambushed the Office Manager just as he was opening up one morning and stole trays of file cards.

(N.B. A similar, but more lethal attack was attempted on a Security Officer at Excalibur House this June. On the night in question one of the gang, posing as an NF member, talked his way onto the premises, then attempted to induce the officer to drink drugged coffee. This ploy failed and the infiltrator left. A few minutes later another man, with a bottle of acid, attempted to persuade the officer to open the door. This would-be assailant, his acid bottle and the drugged flask of coffee are now in Police

custody. The gang was presumably attempting to raid political files which they imagine are stored on the premises.)

Before and after the theft of NF files in 1970, the homes of numerous Right Wingers, and the offices of Right Wing groups experienced break-ins and document thefts. Among the many victims were John Tyndall; H. B. Isherwood; Mrs. 'Bee' Carthew; and General Sir Walter Walker.

Among the items stolen from John Tyndall in 1968 was his passport. Later photocopies of what purported to be a membership application from John Tyndall to a ludicrous "Nutzi" outfit were circulated to members of the NF National Directorate. Included on the form, in the appropriate space, was the passport photograph of Mr. Tyndall!

One of Gable/Ludmer's greatest coups was to finesse one of their agents — Leslie Wooler — into the confidence of the then (1973) Chairman of the Monday Club, Jonathan Guinness. Guinness had been impressed by stories in the *Sunday Telegraph* — planted by Gable/Ludmer via Peter Gladstone Smith — that the Club was being infiltrated by NF members who were poised to vote into office a contender for the Club's Chairmanship, George K. Young.

Wooler was given the job of going through the Club's membership lists as rooting out "NF infiltrators". Soon after the Wooler operation Monday Club members were deluged with bogus bulletins! Some purported to come from a secret dissident faction, others purported to be issued by Mr. Guinness. In counterpoint to these bogus bulletins, the *Sunday Telegraph's* Peter Gladstone Smith wrote quite untrue stories about NF Chairman John Tyndall holding secret meetings with Mr. Young.

The upshot of all this was that the Monday Club had a nervous breakdown from which it never recovered.

When I review these kind of incidents — not least the way in which 'leaks' to the Press and bogus bulletins were employed to try and subvert and split the NF in 1975 — I look on the events of this June and July with a sense of *deja vu*. I really have seen it all before.

National Front News

Editor: Martin Webster

A broadsheet published monthly in support of the National Front. Subscriptions only for 5 copies or more. 12 issues of 5 copies £6.24.

Reduced rates for bulk supplies given on request. Send 15p for sample copy now.

Y.N.F. SEMINAR AND RALLY

A GREAT SUCCESS

Last month saw the first Young National Front all-day event combining political lectures and classes in organisation, a rally and a social and disco in the evening. YNF members from all parts of the country attended the event, held at the Conway Hall, Red Lion Square in central London. By general consent the day was highly successful and marked the first of many such political training seminars and social occasions for the Young National Front.

Lectures were given by National Directorate members, including party Chairman John Tyndall, Martin Webster, Andrew Brons and Richard Verrall, as well as YNF Organisers. Morning and afternoon sessions were divided into two periods, with four lectures in each period. By repeating the same lecture in the morning and afternoon, YNF members were able to attend four lectures out of a total of eight.

John Tyndall lectured groups on the subject of Nationalist Economics and the banking system, while Martin Webster talked on 'Essential Elements of NF Ideology'. Andrew Brons spoke on the history of the National Front in the context of its structural and organisational development, and *Spearhead* editor Richard Verrall gave a lecture on the scientific case for racialism, reviewing the research of modern geneticists and psychologists into racial inequality.

Other lectures concerned matters of practical organisation for YNF members. Student Organiser Nick Griffin, a Cambridge undergraduate, talked to classes of members on the tactics of promoting the NF at college, while Leeds YNF Organiser Steven Gaunt (editor of *British News*) and Norwich Organiser Nick Wakelin (editor of *Anglian News*) spoke on publishing and editing a YNF newsletter. An extremely popular class was



Colin Seal, of North Bucks Branch, takes a class in the technique of silkscreen poster printing

held by North Bucks Branch activist Colin Seal, a member of the NF Publicity Department's Design & Display Group, on the technique of silkscreen poster printing at home — of vital importance in the production of instant, up-to-the-minute propaganda. Eddy Morrison of Leeds gave a lively and stimulating talk on the tactics of organising a unit of the YNF, emphasising methods of appealing to the various youth groups in society.



A rally was held at the end of the day, addressed by YNF Organiser Joe Pearce, Nick Griffin, Kevin Randall, YNF Chairman Philip Gegan, Martin Webster and NF Chairman John Tyndall, whose speech emphasised the crucial role of youth cadres in the future development of the National Front.

N.F. FILMS


The demand from NF Branches for Nationalist films to be shown at monthly Branch meetings has resulted in films like *NF in Action*, *Breakdown of a Society* and *The Clerical Error* being seen by thousands of people in towns and cities up and down Britain. From February to June 1979 one or other of these films produced by James Macintyre had a public showing each week. Some films were even airmailed to New Zealand where NF supporters in universities held film shows attended by the whole student body.

Survival Ethics is the latest film to be completed in this series, and ready for distribution. This film lays special emphasis on the two different worlds of race: the imaginary, phoney world of the professional race-mixer and the stark reality of racial confrontation.

Interested Organisers should write to 'Film Hire.' (Hire from as little as £5.00 including postage.)



Editor of *Spearhead* Richard Verrall lectures on the scientific case for racialism



Martin Webster lectures on essential elements of NF ideology



YNF Organiser Joe Pearce speaks at the concluding rally. At right, YNF Chairman Philip Gegan

KEEP OUT BOAT PEOPLE DEMO



Nationalist Books

THE GREAT COMMON MARKET FRAUD — G. Gordon Tether. 38p + 7p postage

Pro-Common Market literature has outweighed that against membership. This is to be expected when we realise the strength of the vested interests that promoted our entry. This makes Mr. Tether's pamphlet especially useful. The first section contrasts the promises made by the EEC Lobby with the reality of membership over the last six years. Then comes an account of three current developments: direct elections to the Euro-Parliament, the European Monetary System and the enlargement of the Community. The third and final section of the pamphlet argues the case for withdrawal.

A NATION OR NO NATION — Enoch Powell 60p + 9½p postage

Some members have suggested that we should not stock Enoch Powell's books in view of his attitude to our movement. The fact remains, however, that on a number of issues that concern us, he speaks out with a clarity and eloquence it is impossible not to admire. This series of speeches covers the period 1972-1978 which includes his break with the Tory Party and deals mainly with immigration, the Common Market and Northern Ireland.

THE DEATH TREE — Roy Bramwell. 40p + 10p postage

This is the third of a trilogy of booklets that has aroused great interest. The theme is betrayal and while this naturally leads the author to lay stress on immigration as perhaps the most visible manifestation of the selling-out of our country, we are given a clear picture of the attitudes and mentality of our political and social establishment.

THE HIDDEN FACES OF THE LABOUR PARTY 25p + 7p postage

We all know that over the past few years the Labour Party has become increasingly extremist and anti-British. Here are the names, dates, quotations and facts that prove this tendency beyond all doubt. It is vital that this information become known and circulated.

THE BEAR AT THE BACK DOOR — General Sir Walter Walker. £5.00 + 36p postage

The author gives clear proof of the threat from the Soviet Union to Southern Africa and therefore to the strategic position of the West. We do not, of course, share his optimistic opinion of the attitudes and policies of the Thatcherite Tories but there can be no doubt that his book is an effective exposure of the brutality and dishonesty of those seeking to destroy civilisation in South Africa and Rhodesia, be they White politicians or Black terrorists.

A demonstration against the admission to Britain of the thousands of 'Vietnamese' Boat People was held last month at the reception centre at Eccleshall, Staffordshire where many of these Chinese immigrants are being temporarily housed.

About a hundred NF members from Branches in the North-West took part in the demonstration, led by Crewe and Nantwich NF Organiser John Green. Our picture (left) shows NF members at the picket lines established at the main gates, expressing their opinions of the Ockenden Venture do-gooders as they drove into the camp. The Ockenden Venture is yet another subversive 'charity' whose principal aim seems to be to flood Britain with Chinese aliens. More demonstrations demanding housing priority for Britons not Boat People are planned, say local NF officials.

Panorama on Wagner: stranger than truth

A BIZARRE sense of unreality was the experience of watching the BBC *Panorama* feature on former Nazi camp commandant Gustav Wagner recently. It seemed that 'Holocaust' propaganda had very definitely reached a serious level of 'over-kill'.

Wagner was arrested in Brazil after living there for 30 years under his own name. Extradition pleas have since been rejected by the Brazilian Supreme Court — the Israeli application on the grounds that Israel did not exist during World War Two and therefore could not presume to bring him to justice.

The *Panorama* programme, produced by Tom Bower, told us that Wagner ran Sobibor, an "extermination camp" in Poland. Pictures were shown of the execution of captured partisans in Russia, which Wagner could have had nothing to do with.


We were told: "In just 15 months 250,000 men, women and children stepped off the train in the morning, were gassed by lunchtime, and were cremated by the

following morning." Quite impossible. Somewhere along the line 100,000 of these people were mislaid by certain newspapers, which spoke of 150,000 gassings at Sobibor. That's a lot of people to be uncertain about. According to *Panorama*, the quarter of a million victims were "gassed by the carbon monoxide fumes from the engine of a captured Russian tank." It must have had one hell of an engine. Nothing remained of these people as their ashes were "used as fertiliser." Scenes from the fictional TV *Holocaust* were then shown.

We were further informed that nothing remains of the Sobibor gas chambers as they were "systematically removed" together with all the barbed wire, minefields etc. and the entire area planted with "hundreds of saplings" so that "nothing was left". Only 34 people survived, and they escaped from the camp by "climbing over the bodies of those electrocuted on the electrified fence and those blown up on the minefields." Very risky. Assorted 'survivors' appeared to

express the view that Wagner should "suffer a slow death." The programme closed with more film from *Holocaust*. Despite impressions to the contrary in the programme's pre-publicity, Wagner himself denied killing anybody or sending anyone to gas chambers. He was interviewed by Bower in a prison hospital and appeared 'half-drugged', in the words of the *Telegraph*.

Spearhead spoke to the programme producer Tom Bower on the telephone at his trendy Flask Walk home. He explained that they were forced to use extracts from the



Tom Bower 'Not at all strange'

fictional *Holocaust* as well as completely unrelated film because, of course, "no film existed" of the *Holocaust*.

Mr. Bower did not think it strange that the murderers had managed to cart off all their gas chambers and minefields before they left, planting the entire area with trees so that "nothing was left." Nor did he find it strange that one beat-up Russian tank engine, for which there could have been few if any spare parts, had been kept running for 15 months and employed to gas a quarter of a million people by slow carbon monoxide poisoning, using gallons of precious fuel at a time when petrol was so scarce that the Germans were forced to investigate revolutionary new methods of synthetic fuel production.

Bower probably does not know that yet another scholar, this time an associate professor at the University of Lyon, Robert Faurisson, has published findings asserting that the gas chamber story is unsupported by one jot of genuine evidence. Has *Panorama* contributed its share to the most macabre and mendacious hoax ever perpetrated?



'Survivor' Nathan Goldsack confronts Gustav Wagner

Find out about the National Front

Send 20p for information pack.

To:
P.O. BOX 105
LONDON E.C.2
(Tel. 01-739 2187)

Name

Address

I enclose